## School Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) Template

Instructions and requirements for completing the SPSA template may be found in the SPSA Template Instructions.

| County-District-School <br> (CDS) Code | Schoolsite Council <br> School Name | Local Board Approval <br> (SSC) Approval Date |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Oak Meadow <br> Elementary School | 09618380100024 | $10-5-2021$ |  |

## Purpose and Description

Briefly describe the purpose of this plan (Select from Schoolwide Program, Comprehensive Support and Improvement, Targeted Support and Improvement, or Additional Targeted Support and Improvement)

Schoolwide Program

Briefly describe the school's plan for effectively meeting the ESSA requirements in alignment with the Local Control and Accountability Plan and other federal, state, and local programs.
The Single Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) is a plan of actions to raise the academic performance of all students. California Education Code sections 41507, 41572 and 64001 and the federal Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESSA) require each school to consolidate all school plans for programs funded through the ConApp and ESSA Program Improvement into the SPSA.
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## Comprehensive Needs Assessment Components

## Data Analysis

Please refer to the School and Student Performance Data section where an analysis is provided.

## Surveys

This section provides a description of surveys (i.e., Student, Parent, Teacher) used during the schoolyear, and a summary of results from the survey(s).
???? No survey was conducted in May 2020 due to the COVID19 shut down. An annual survey of parents was conducted in May 2019. The results of the survey indicate that, with regards to the instructional program, $96 \%$ parents felt that the program met their child's needs in reading, $90 \%$ in writing, $91 \%$ in mathematics, $92 \%$ in science, $92 \%$ in social studies, $88 \%$ in physical education, $78 \%$ in visual and performing arts, and $95 \%$ in technology. All of these satisfaction ratings have increased since 2011 when the first on-line survey was given, except for visual and performing arts where 2015 was the first year the data was collected.
???? Additionally, $97 \%$ of parents responding to the survey agreed or strongly agreed that their child feels safe and secure at school, $98 \%$ felt their child was socially connected at school, $95 \%$ felt the school was free of racial, cultural, or religious bias. $95 \%$ of parents felt the school was clean and well maintained. $98 \%$ of parents felt that the school was an inviting place and welcoming of parents.

A survey of our 5th grade students showed that $92 \%$ of our students agree or strongly agree that they feel safe at school. 97\% agree or strongly agree that they have friends at school. And 95\% agree or strongly agree that their teacher knows them and helps them learn. Student suggestions for improving Oak Meadow include; more after school sports, better lunch menu selections, more recess equipment, less homework, and more clubs.

Historically Oak Meadow's families are positive about the school and the programs offered to students.

## Classroom Observations

This section provides a description of types and frequency of classroom observations conducted during the school-year and a summary of findings.
Informal observations are conducted with frequency throughout the year. Formal observations occur for teachers on an evaluation cycle as outlined in the Buckeye Union School District's teacher contract. Feedback to teachers is given in the form of notes or through conversation surrounding instructional practices, student engagement, use of technology, curriculum implementation, etc. These observations are centered around the California Standards for Teaching Profession. The findings from these observations help to determine next steps for professional development and gives the school a snapshot of how teaching and learning is occurring throughout the school.

## Analysis of Current Instructional Program

The following statements are derived from the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA) of 1965 and Essential Program Components (EPCs). In conjunction with the needs assessments, these categories may be used to discuss and develop critical findings that characterize current instructional practice for numerically significant subgroups as well as individual students who are:

- Not meeting performance goals
- Meeting performance goals
- Exceeding performance goals

Discussion of each of these statements should result in succinct and focused findings based on verifiable facts. Avoid vague or general descriptions. Each successive school plan should examine the status of these findings and note progress made. Special consideration should be given to any practices, policies, or procedures found to be noncompliant through ongoing monitoring of categorical programs.

## Standards, Assessment, and Accountability

Use of state and local assessments to modify instruction and improve student achievement (ESEA) Due to the impacts of COVID-19 in the 2019-2020 and 2020-2021 school years, the California Department of Education waived the requirement for administration of State Assessments. Alternatively, the Buckeye Union School District administered the FastBridge assessment in Reading and Mathematics. The results are presented within this plan. See Oak Meadow FastBridge Data Report attached.

Another section of the California School Dashboard Reporting System includes Local Indicators including Basic Services and Conditions at Schools, Implementation of State Academic Standards, Parent Engagement, and Local Climate Survey.

Regarding Basic Services and Conditions at School, all students at Oak Meadow have access to all adopted textbooks and materials. There are adequate facilities to meet the needs of our instructional program. All of our teachers are appropriately credentialed and assigned to their teaching responsibilities.

As far as the Implementation of State Academic Standards, a survey of teachers at the end of the 2020-2021 school year found that Oak Meadow is fully implementing the California State Academic Standards in English Language Arts, Mathematics, Science and Social Sciences.

Parent Engagement is a key component of Oak Meadow's success. Parent input is obtained from various parent groups including our School Site Council, English Language Advisory Committee, PTO, and annual parent surveys. Parents are invited to participate in the school programs through volunteer opportunities, parent education events, and school social events.

The last parent survey given prior to COVID in 2018-2019 survey indicate that, with regards to the instructional program, $96 \%$ parents felt that the program met their child's needs in reading, $90 \%$ in writing, $91 \%$ in mathematics, $92 \%$ in science, $92 \%$ in social studies, $88 \%$ in physical education, $78 \%$ in visual and performing arts, and $95 \%$ in technology. All of these satisfaction ratings have increased since 2011 when the first on-line survey was given, except for visual and performing arts where 2015 was the first year the data was collected. Additionally, $97 \%$ of parents responding to the survey agreed or strongly agreed that their child feels safe and secure at school, $98 \%$ felt their child was socially connected at school, $95 \%$ felt the school was free of racial, cultural, or religious bias.
$95 \%$ of parents felt the school was clean and well maintained. $98 \%$ of parents felt that the school was an inviting place and welcoming of parents.
the 2020-2021 survey of our 5th grade students showed that $92 \%$ of our students agree or strongly agree that they feel safe at school. $97 \%$ agree or strongly agree that they have friends at school. And $95 \%$ agree or strongly agree that their teacher knows them and helps them learn. Student suggestions for improving Oak Meadow include; more after school sports, better lunch menu selections, more recess equipment, less homework, and more clubs.

Additionally, the site also tracks local assessment data, including the Accelerated Reader Success Index. In 2020-2021, 87\% of students were achieving a score of $85 \%$ or higher on AR quizzes and $92 \%$ was the school-wide test average. This is one indicator that assists in verifying students are reading books independently that are at their optimal level for developing comprehension skills.

For elementary sites: K-3 classrooms continue using the Benchmark Assessment System (BAS)and SIPPS assessments in order to appropriately level students for reading instruction. Students who are struggling with reading are referred to reading intervention on the basis of this BAS/SIPPS scores and teacher observation.

During 2020-2021 we used the FastBridge assessment program in grades 2nd-5th. The assessments measure reading and math learning. The results of these assessments provide teachers with an indication of a student's instructional readiness for specific learning goals. Given that these assessments are well correlated with the State's SBAC program, they provide teachers with a good indication as to whether or not a student is making progress, over time, towards meeting standards. The aReading and aMath assessments mirror the grade level standards, provide teachers with instant feedback and teaching focus based on student readiness and need.

Our Math Intervention program will provided support for students in grades 2 nd -5 th. Results from SBA, FastBridge and Benchmark Assessments are used to determine students in need of this additional intervention program.

Use of data to monitor student progress on curriculum-embedded assessments and modify instruction (EPC)
Teachers also use curriculum embedded assessments to monitor student progress on a daily, weekly, and end of unit basis. The assessments are composed of both formative and summative assessments that are used to monitor student progress over time, provide data to be used for grouping students for instruction, reteaching, and differentiating instruction. Each of our 2nd through 5th grade teachers also administer the FAST assessment. This is an assessment that provides data that measures student growth, projects student proficiency on state assessments, and informs teachers how to differentiate their instruction and plan their curriculum. The assessment is given three times a year to monitor student progress. Students use the Accelerated Reader program and take tests on books they have read independently. The STAR Reading assessment, which is the assessment embedded in the Accelerated Reader Program, is taken at least three times per year to determine a students reading range and to monitor their grade equivalent (G.E) reading growth.

## Staffing and Professional Development

Status of meeting requirements for highly qualified staff (ESEA)
One hundred percent of teachers meet criteria as highly qualified (ESEA).

Sufficiency of credentialed teachers and teacher professional development (e.g., access to instructional materials training on SBE-adopted instructional materials) (EPC)
All teachers have appropriate credentials for the subjects they are teaching and have had professional development on all SBE-adopted instructional materials.

Alignment of staff development to content standards, assessed student performance, and professional needs (ESEA)
Teachers continue to receive ongoing professional development through LCF, Early Release Collaborative time, and I-days.

Ongoing instructional assistance and support for teachers (e.g., use of content experts and instructional coaches) (EPC)
Teachers receive on-going staff development throughout the year at I-Day training, after school workshops, and LCF.

Teacher collaboration by grade level (kindergarten through grade eight [K-8]) and department (grades nine through twelve) (EPC)
The District provides teachers with early release time each Wednesday. This time is designed to enable teachers to examine curriculum, pacing, assessments and results, and student progress. Teachers at Oak Meadow meet with their grade levels or as a whole to participate in professional development activities, review student data, or plan instruction.

## Teaching and Learning

Alignment of curriculum, instruction, and materials to content and performance standards (ESEA) Teachers are engaged in ongoing professional development and collaboration aimed at aligning curriculum, instruction, and materials to State Standards.

Adherence to recommended instructional minutes for reading/language arts and mathematics (K-8) (EPC)
Sufficient instructional minutes are allocated to ensure high quality first instruction in Reading/Language Arts and Mathematics. On average, students in grades K-5 receive 120 number of minutes, daily, in Reading/Language Arts and 60 minutes in Mathematics, daily.

Lesson pacing schedule (K-8) and master schedule flexibility for sufficient numbers of intervention courses (EPC)
All schedules are designed with flexibility for the delivery of interventions to students in need of additional academic and/or social/emotional support.

Availability of standards-based instructional materials appropriate to all student groups (ESEA) All student groups have access to appropriate standards-based materials for all subjects.

Use of SBE-adopted and standards-aligned instructional materials, including intervention materials, and for high school students, access to standards-aligned core courses (EPC)
The District provides SBE-adopted and standards aligned instructional materials, including intervention materials. ELA materials include Schoolwide Fundamentals of Reading K-5, Being A Writer K-5, Snap Close Reading 3-5, SIPPS K-3, Benchmark Education Bookrooms K-5, Fountas and Pinnell Benchmark Assessment System K-3. Mathematics materials include Pearson Envision Math K - 5, K - 5 Amplify Science.

## Opportunity and Equal Educational Access

Services provided by the regular program that enable underperforming students to meet standards (ESEA)
Students in need of additional support in meeting the standards are provided with a variety of experiences designed to help them meet grade level standards. In the general education classroom these supports include: differentiated instruction, small group instruction, accommodated assignments, varied instructional strategies, SDAIE strategies for English Language Learners, and additional time for learning. Students with significant gaps in their learning may also participate in specialized intervention instruction designed to fill-in learning gaps and accelerate their learning so that they can attain grade level proficiency. Students with an IEP are provided additional learning opportunities in our Learning Center structured around their IEP goals to help them meet grade level standards. Students with a 504 Plan are provided additional supports based upon their 504 accommodations. Students in need of additional mental health/social emotional support also have access to our school counselor who works on our site three days a week.

## Evidence-based educational practices to raise student achievement

Teachers use a wide range of instructional strategies and summative and formative assessment that raise student achievement. In addition to the summative and formative assessments listed above in question 2, teachers complete regular training on the latest education practices to include in their classroom teaching. Examples of training include: close reading strategies, questioning strategies, and training on the Standards of Math Practice.

Oak Meadow School has the following programs and practices to support our students with a variety of academic, physical and social-emotional programs: Student Activities: Cross Country, STEM Learning Opportunities (Science Technology Engineering Math), P.C. Pals (partnership with Intel), Spelling Bee, Oral Interpretation, P.L.S. (Parking Lot Supervisors), Green Team (Recycling), Student Leadership, TEAM Raptor, Running Club, Oak Meadow Garden, Hands4Hope, Beyond School Walls, Parent Conferences, IEP, 504, and Teacher meetings.

## Parental Engagement

Resources available from family, school, district, and community to assist under-achieving students (ESEA)
Oak Meadow School is fortunate to have a very supportive parent and community group. The Parent Teacher Organization, Meet the Masters Art Program and School Site Council are very active and provide opportunities for parent involvement. Over 200 volunteers put in over 1,000 hours of
service in classrooms each year. Parents also volunteer for numerous other activities in support of the school. The following is a partial list of well-supported ongoing volunteer activities: Docents for Meet the Masters Art Program, School Site Council, P.T.O., LCAP Parent Involvement Committee, Budget Committee, Facilities Master Plan Committee, Technology Committee, Running Clubs, Yearbook, Boxtops, Adopt A Class, Heritage Festival, Art Walk, and the Oak Meadow Garden. Although volunteer activities have been curtailed for the past 18 months due to COVID restrictions we are looking forward to bringing back volunteer opportunities later this school year.

Additionally, Oak Meadow provides parents with a description of curriculum, forms of assessment, and expectations for proficiency at Back to School Night. Parents receive information in regards to grade level standards and report cards along with explanations of assessments used. Progress reports and parent conferences are opportunities for teachers to share student progress with parents. Email and telephone calls are frequent methods used for parent-teacher communication. Report cards are sent out three times per year detailing proficiency levels. Information will be provided to parents at Back to School Night and in parent-teacher conferences in regards to homework, school/teacher websites, and grade level standards. Translators are available for school site needs based on parent or teacher requests, as are translated forms such as enrollment documents.

As students articulate from Elementary School to Middle school, a number of supports are in place for families. These include articulation meetings for students with 504 and IEPs and a parent information evening held at the middle school each spring.

Involvement of parents, community representatives, classroom teachers, other school personnel, and students in secondary schools, in the planning, implementation, and evaluation of ConApp programs (5 California Code of Regulations 3932)
Oak Meadow involves parents in the development of the School Parent Involvement Policy and the Single Plan for Student Achievement:

The School Parent Involvement Policy is developed in conjunction with the School Site Council that gathers input from meetings during the year, updates the policy, and approves the final draft which is then adopted by the Board and made available to parents in the Parent/Student Handbook.

The Single Plan for Student Achievement is developed in conjunction with the School Site Council. Parents and staff review school assessment results, analyze of the results, and make recommendations on the formation of goals to address areas of concern. These recommendations serve as the basis for the Single Plan for Student Achievement. Additional data is collected through a parent survey conducted to assess needs of stakeholders. Once the single plan is written, reviewed, and approved by the School Site Council, it is submitted to the Buckeye School Board. After approval, it will be posted on the school website.

Services provided by categorical funds that enable underperforming students to meet standards (ESEA)
Oak Meadow does not receive Title I or Title III funding.

Fiscal support (EPC)
Oak Meadow does receive LCFF Supplemental Grant funding. This funding is being used to support our intervention programs including English Language Arts, mathematics and ELD. Two days of substitute time for assessments for all 4th and 5 th grade classes. Substitutes for assessment days for SIPPS and BAS assessments for K-2nd grade are provided through site funds.

## Stakeholder Involvement

How, when, and with whom did the school consult as part of the planning process for this SPSA/Annual Review and Update?

## Involvement Process for the SPSA and Annual Review and Update

Parents were surveyed in May 2019 through our annual Parent Survey. Our SSC met 10/5/2021 to review and approve the SPSA. ELAC met October 2021.

## Resource Inequities

Briefly identify and describe any resource inequities identified as a result of the required needs assessment, as applicable.
There are no resource inequities.

## School and Student Performance Data

## Student Enrollment <br> Enrollment By Student Group

| Student Enrollment by Subgroup |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Student Group | Percent of Enrollment |  |  | Number of Students |  |  |
|  | 18-19 | 19-20 | 20-21 | 18-19 | 19-20 | 20-21 |
| American Indian | \% | 0\% | 0.2\% |  | 0 | 1 |
| African American | 1.29\% | 1.28\% | 0.2\% | 8 | 7 | 1 |
| Asian | 17.8\% | 19.89\% | 18.4\% | 110 | 109 | 87 |
| Filipino | 3.24\% | 3.65\% | 2.5\% | 20 | 20 | 12 |
| Hispanic/Latino | 14.24\% | 16.61\% | 15.6\% | 88 | 91 | 74 |
| Pacific Islander | 0.32\% | 0.18\% | 0.2\% | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| White | 56.96\% | 52.74\% | 55.6\% | 352 | 289 | 263 |
| Multiple/No Response | 5.99\% | 5.66\% | 7.2\% | 37 | 31 | 34 |
|  | Total Enrollment |  |  | 618 | 548 | 473 |

## Student Enrollment

 Enrollment By Grade Level| Grade |  | Student Enrollment by Grade Level |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number of Students |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathbf{1 8 - 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 - 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 - 2 1}$ |  |
| Kindergarten | 102 | 75 | 72 |  |
| Grade 1 | 77 | 91 | 62 |  |
| Grade 2 | 103 | 80 | 77 |  |
| Grade3 | 110 | 106 | 71 |  |
| Grade 4 | 97 | 102 | 96 |  |
| Grade 5 | 129 | 94 | 95 |  |
| Total Enrollment | 618 | 548 | 473 |  |

Conclusions based on this data:

1. Oak Meadow is in a period of declining enrollment.

## School and Student Performance Data

Student Enrollment
English Learner (EL) Enrollment

| English Learner (EL) Enrollment |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Student Group | Number of Students |  | Percent of Students |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathbf{1 8 - 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 - 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 - 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 - 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 - 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 - 2 1}$ |
| English Learners | 41 | 40 | $\mathbf{2 2}$ | $6.6 \%$ | $7.3 \%$ | $4.7 \%$ |
| Fluent English Proficient (FEP) | 64 | 54 | 45 | $10.4 \%$ | $9.9 \%$ | $9.5 \%$ |
| Reclassified Fluent English Proficient (RFEP) | 12 | 10 | 11 | $24.5 \%$ | $\mathbf{2 4 . 4} \%$ | $\mathbf{2 7 . 5 \%}$ |

Conclusions based on this data:

1. Our percentage of English Language Learners has declined by $2.6 \%$.

## School and Student Performance Data

## CAASPP Results <br> English Language Arts/Literacy (All Students)

| Overall Participation for All Students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | \# of Students Enrolled |  |  | \# of Students Tested |  |  | \# of Students with |  |  | \% of Enrolled Students |  |  |
|  | 16-17 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 18-19 |
| Grade 3 | 133 | 99 | 110 | 133 | 98 | 110 | 133 | 98 | 110 | 100 | 99 | 100 |
| Grade 4 | 159 | 128 | 98 | 157 | 126 | 98 | 157 | 126 | 98 | 98.7 | 98.4 | 100 |
| Grade 5 | 155 | 161 | 134 | 152 | 159 | 132 | 152 | 159 | 132 | 98.1 | 98.8 | 98.5 |
| All | 447 | 388 | 342 | 442 | 383 | 340 | 442 | 383 | 340 | 98.9 | 98.7 | 99.4 |

*The "\% of Enrolled Students Tested" showing in this table is not the same as "Participation Rate" for federal accountability

| Overall Achievement for All Students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | Mean Scale Score |  |  | \% Standard |  |  | \% Standard Met |  |  | \% Standard Nearly |  |  | \% Standard Not |  |  |
|  | 16-17 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 18-19 |
| Grade 3 | 2477. | 2480. | 2492. | 46.62 | 50.00 | 53.64 | 28.57 | 26.53 | 34.55 | 17.29 | 17.35 | 9.09 | 7.52 | 6.12 | 2.73 |
| Grade 4 | 2531. | 2547. | 2552. | 52.23 | 63.49 | 63.27 | 29.94 | 26.98 | 21.43 | 10.83 | 5.56 | 10.20 | 7.01 | 3.97 | 5.10 |
| Grade 5 | 2571. | 2571. | 2577. | 51.97 | 46.54 | 46.97 | 32.89 | 35.85 | 40.15 | 7.24 | 11.95 | 9.09 | 7.89 | 5.66 | 3.79 |
| All Grades | N/A | N/A | N/A | 50.45 | 53.00 | 53.82 | 30.54 | 30.55 | 32.94 | 11.54 | 11.23 | 9.41 | 7.47 | 5.22 | 3.82 |


| Reading <br> Demonstrating understanding of literary and non-fictional texts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | \% Above Standard |  |  | \% At or Near Standard |  |  | \% Below Standard |  |  |
|  | 16-17 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 18-19 |
| Grade 3 | 39.85 | 42.86 | 53.64 | 53.38 | 42.86 | 40.91 | 6.77 | 14.29 | 5.45 |
| Grade 4 | 40.76 | 47.62 | 60.20 | 51.59 | 46.83 | 33.67 | 7.64 | 5.56 | 6.12 |
| Grade 5 | 47.37 | 45.91 | 49.24 | 43.42 | 42.77 | 43.18 | 9.21 | 11.32 | 7.58 |
| All Grades | 42.76 | 45.69 | 53.82 | 49.32 | 44.13 | 39.71 | 7.92 | 10.18 | 6.47 |


| Writing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | \% Above Standard |  | \% At or Near Standard |  | \% Below Standard |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathbf{1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 - 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 - 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 - 1 9}$ |  |
| Grade 3 | 45.11 | 40.82 | 40.00 | 46.62 | 50.00 | 51.82 | 8.27 | 9.18 | 8.18 |  |
| Grade 4 | 46.50 | 69.05 | 56.12 | 47.13 | 26.98 | 37.76 | 6.37 | 3.97 | 6.12 |  |
| Grade 5 | 61.18 | 54.72 | 55.30 | 32.89 | 38.99 | 40.15 | 5.92 | 6.29 | 4.55 |  |
| All Grades | 51.13 | 55.87 | 50.59 | 42.08 | 37.86 | 43.24 | 6.79 | 6.27 | 6.18 |  |


| Listening <br> Demonstrating effective communication skills |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | \% Above Standard |  |  | \% At or Near Standard |  |  | \% Below Standard |  |  |
|  | 16-17 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 18-19 |
| Grade 3 | 42.11 | 28.57 | 40.00 | 52.63 | 66.33 | 58.18 | 5.26 | 5.10 | 1.82 |
| Grade 4 | 41.40 | 39.68 | 46.94 | 54.14 | 57.14 | 47.96 | 4.46 | 3.17 | 5.10 |
| Grade 5 | 40.13 | 37.11 | 37.88 | 53.29 | 59.12 | 56.06 | 6.58 | 3.77 | 6.06 |
| All Grades | 41.18 | 35.77 | 41.18 | 53.39 | 60.31 | 54.41 | 5.43 | 3.92 | 4.41 |


| Research/Inquiry <br> Investigating, analyzing, and presenting information |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | \% Above Standard |  |  | \% At or Near Standard |  |  | \% Below Standard |  |  |
|  | 16-17 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 18-19 |
| Grade 3 | 35.34 | 48.98 | 40.00 | 55.64 | 44.90 | 56.36 | 9.02 | 6.12 | 3.64 |
| Grade 4 | 52.87 | 50.79 | 51.02 | 43.31 | 42.86 | 44.90 | 3.82 | 6.35 | 4.08 |
| Grade 5 | 58.55 | 51.57 | 50.76 | 33.55 | 44.03 | 44.70 | 7.89 | 4.40 | 4.55 |
| All Grades | 49.55 | 50.65 | 47.35 | 43.67 | 43.86 | 48.53 | 6.79 | 5.48 | 4.12 |

Conclusions based on this data:

1. There is no data for 2020-2021 due to the impacts of COVID-19 in the 2019-2020 and 2020-2021 school years.

The California Department of Education waived the requirement for administration of State Assessments. Alternatively, the Buckeye Union School District administered the FastBridge assessment in Reading and Mathematics. The results are presented within this plan.

## School and Student Performance Data

## CAASPP Results <br> Mathematics (All Students)

| Overall Participation for All Students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | \# of Students Enrolled |  |  | \# of Students Tested |  |  | \# of Students with |  |  | \% of Enrolled Students |  |  |
|  | 16-17 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 18-19 |
| Grade 3 | 133 | 99 | 110 | 133 | 98 | 110 | 133 | 98 | 110 | 100 | 99 | 100 |
| Grade 4 | 159 | 128 | 98 | 158 | 127 | 98 | 158 | 127 | 98 | 99.4 | 99.2 | 100 |
| Grade 5 | 155 | 161 | 134 | 152 | 160 | 132 | 152 | 160 | 132 | 98.1 | 99.4 | 98.5 |
| All | 447 | 388 | 342 | 443 | 385 | 340 | 443 | 385 | 340 | 99.1 | 99.2 | 99.4 |

*The "\% of Enrolled Students Tested" showing in this table is not the same as "Participation Rate" for federal accountability purposes.

| Overall Achievement for All Students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | Mean Scale Score |  |  | \% Standard |  |  | \% Standard Met |  |  | \% Standard Nearly |  |  | \% Standard Not |  |  |
|  | 16-17 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 18-19 |
| Grade 3 | 2479. | 2475. | 2496. | 36.09 | 41.84 | 47.27 | 42.86 | 32.65 | 37.27 | 18.05 | 17.35 | 13.64 | 3.01 | 8.16 | 1.82 |
| Grade 4 | 2523. | 2546. | 2539. | 34.18 | 48.82 | 51.02 | 37.34 | 40.16 | 31.63 | 25.32 | 8.66 | 14.29 | 3.16 | 2.36 | 3.06 |
| Grade 5 | 2566. | 2568. | 2580. | 51.97 | 46.88 | 52.27 | 21.05 | 25.63 | 28.79 | 18.42 | 23.13 | 13.64 | 8.55 | 4.38 | 5.30 |
| All Grades | N/A | N/A | N/A | 40.86 | 46.23 | 50.29 | 33.41 | 32.21 | 32.35 | 20.77 | 16.88 | 13.82 | 4.97 | 4.68 | 3.53 |


| Concepts \& Procedures <br> Applying mathematical concepts and procedures |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | \% Above Standard |  |  | \% At or Near Standard |  |  | \% Below Standard |  |  |
|  | 16-17 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 18-19 |
| Grade 3 | 58.65 | 58.16 | 65.45 | 30.08 | 29.59 | 30.00 | 11.28 | 12.24 | 4.55 |
| Grade 4 | 51.90 | 64.57 | 63.27 | 36.71 | 31.50 | 27.55 | 11.39 | 3.94 | 9.18 |
| Grade 5 | 58.55 | 56.25 | 60.61 | 27.63 | 32.50 | 30.30 | 13.82 | 11.25 | 9.09 |
| All Grades | 56.21 | 59.48 | 62.94 | 31.60 | 31.43 | 29.41 | 12.19 | 9.09 | 7.65 |

Problem Solving \& Modeling/Data Analysis
Using appropriate tools and strategies to solve real world and mathematical problems

| Grade Level |  | \% Above Standard |  |  | \% At or Near Standard |  |  | \% Below Standard |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\mathbf{1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 - 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 - 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 - 1 9}$ |  |
| Grade 3 | 46.62 | 42.86 | 50.91 | 45.86 | 45.92 | 43.64 | 7.52 | 11.22 | 5.45 |  |
| Grade 4 | 35.44 | 48.03 | 51.02 | 51.90 | 44.88 | 41.84 | 12.66 | 7.09 | 7.14 |  |
| Grade 5 | 46.05 | 43.75 | 51.52 | 46.71 | 46.88 | 43.94 | 7.24 | 9.38 | 4.55 |  |
| All Grades | 42.44 | 44.94 | 51.18 | 48.31 | 45.97 | 43.24 | 9.26 | 9.09 | 5.59 |  |


| Communicating Reasoning Demonstrating ability to support mathematical conclusions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | \% Above Standard |  |  | \% At or Near Standard |  |  | \% Below Standard |  |  |
|  | 16-17 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 18-19 |
| Grade 3 | 45.86 | 47.96 | 58.18 | 48.87 | 42.86 | 38.18 | 5.26 | 9.18 | 3.64 |
| Grade 4 | 46.20 | 63.78 | 62.24 | 48.10 | 31.50 | 31.63 | 5.70 | 4.72 | 6.12 |
| Grade 5 | 46.71 | 36.25 | 41.67 | 41.45 | 56.25 | 52.27 | 11.84 | 7.50 | 6.06 |
| All Grades | 46.28 | 48.31 | 52.94 | 46.05 | 44.68 | 41.76 | 7.67 | 7.01 | 5.29 |

Conclusions based on this data:

1. There is no data for 2020-2021 due to the impacts of COVID-19 in the 2019-2020 and 2020-2021 school years. The California Department of Education waived the requirement for administration of State Assessments.
Alternatively, the Buckeye Union School District administered the FastBridge assessment in Reading and Mathematics. The results are presented within this plan.

## School and Student Performance Data

## ELPAC Results

| ELPAC Summative Assessment Data <br> Number of Students and Mean Scale Scores for All Students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | Overall |  | Oral Language |  | Written Language |  | Number of Students Tested |  |
|  | 17-18 | 18-19 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 17-18 | 18-19 |
| Grade K | 1444.6 | * | 1440.1 | * | 1455.1 | * | 15 | 9 |
| Grade 1 | * | 1469.0 | * | 1458.9 | * | 1478.9 | * | 15 |
| Grade 2 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 6 |
| Grade 3 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Grade 4 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 4 |
| Grade 5 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 5 |
| All Grades |  |  |  |  |  |  | 42 | 42 |


| Overall Language <br> Percentage of Students at Each Performance Level for All Students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade | Level 4 |  | Level 3 |  | Level 2 |  | Level 1 |  | Total Number of Students |  |
|  | 17-18 | 18-19 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 17-18 | 18-19 |
| K | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 15 | * |
| 1 | * | 20.00 | * | 20.00 |  | 53.33 | * | 6.67 | * | 15 |
| 3 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| 4 | * | * | * | * |  | * |  | * | * | * |
| 5 | * | * | * | * | * | * |  | * | * | * |
| All Grades | 57.14 | 30.95 | 28.57 | 26.19 | * | 26.19 | * | 16.67 | 42 | 42 |


| Oral Language <br> Percentage of Students at Each Performance Level for All Students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade | Level 4 |  | Level 3 |  | Level 2 |  | Level 1 |  | Total Number of Students |  |
|  | 17-18 | 18-19 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 17-18 | 18-19 |
| K | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 15 | * |
| 1 | * | 26.67 | * | 26.67 |  | 40.00 |  | 6.67 | * | 15 |
| 3 | * | * | * | * |  | * | * | * | * | * |
| 5 | * | * | * | * |  | * |  | * | * | * |
| All Grades | 52.38 | 35.71 | 38.10 | 30.95 | * | 19.05 | * | 14.29 | 42 | 42 |


| Written Language <br> Percentage of Students at Each Performance Level for All Students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade | Level 4 |  | Level 3 |  | Level 2 |  | Level 1 |  | Total Number of Students |  |
|  | 17-18 | 18-19 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 17-18 | 18-19 |
| K | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 15 | * |
| 1 | * | 26.67 | * | 26.67 |  | 40.00 | * | 6.67 | * | 15 |
| 2 | * | * | * | * |  | * |  | * | * | * |
| 3 |  | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| 5 | * | * | * | * | * | * |  | * | * | * |
| All Grades | 40.48 | 21.43 | 33.33 | 35.71 | * | 26.19 | * | 16.67 | 42 | 42 |


| Percentage of Students by Domain Performance Level for All Students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade <br> Level | Well Developed |  | Somewhat/Moderately |  | Beginning |  | Total Number <br> of Students |  |
|  | $\mathbf{1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 - 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 - 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 - 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 - 1 9}$ |
| K | 86.67 | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ |  | $*$ | 15 | $*$ |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | $*$ | 53.33 | $*$ | 46.67 |  | 0.00 | $*$ | 15 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ |
| All Grades | 78.57 | 50.00 | $*$ | 38.10 | $*$ | 11.90 | 42 | 42 |

Speaking Domain
Percentage of Students by Domain Performance Level for All Students

| Grade <br> Level | Well Developed |  | Somewhat/Moderately |  | Beginning |  | Total Number <br> of Students |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 - 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 - 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 - 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 - 1 9}$ |
| K | $*$ | $*$ | 73.33 | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | 15 | $*$ |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | $*$ | 6.67 | $*$ | 66.67 |  | 26.67 | $*$ | 15 |
| All Grades | 42.86 | 28.57 | 52.38 | 52.38 | $*$ | 19.05 | 42 | 42 |

Reading Domain
Percentage of Students by Domain Performance Level for All Students

| Grade <br> Level | Well Developed |  | Somewhat/Moderately |  | Beginning |  | Total Number <br> of Students |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 - 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 - 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 - 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 - 1 9}$ |
| K | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ |  | $*$ | 15 | $*$ |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | $*$ | 46.67 | $*$ | 20.00 | $*$ | 33.33 | $*$ | 15 |
| All Grades | 50.00 | 33.33 | 42.86 | 40.48 | $*$ | 26.19 | 42 | 42 |


| Writing Domain <br> Percentage of Students by Domain Performance Level for All Students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade | Well Developed |  | Somewhat/Moderately |  | Beginning |  | Total Number of Students |  |
|  | 17-18 | 18-19 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 17-18 | 18-19 |
| K | 73.33 | * | * | * | * | * | 15 | * |
| 1 | * | 20.00 | * | 80.00 | * | 0.00 | * | 15 |
| All Grades | 57.14 | 28.57 | 38.10 | 57.14 | * | 14.29 | 42 | 42 |

## Conclusions based on this data:

1. 2020-2021 data are not available at this time.

## School and Student Performance Data

## Student Population

Due to the COVID-19 pandemic, state law has suspended the reporting of state and local indicators on the 2020 Dashboard. However, available data that would have been included in the Dashboard are reported on the Department's web site if they were determined to be valid and reliable. Information regarding the reporting status of data is available at COVID-19 and Data Reporting.

This section provides information about the school's student population.

| 2019-20 Student Population |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Enrollment | Socioeconomically Disadvantaged | English Learners | Foster <br> Youth |
| 548 | $11.3$ | $7.3$ | 0.2 |
| This is the total number of students enrolled. | This is the percent of students who are eligible for free or reduced priced meals; or have parents/guardians who did not receive a high school diploma. | This is the percent of students who are learning to communicate effectively in English, typically requiring instruction in both the English Language and in their academic courses. | This is the percent of students whose well-being is the responsibility of a court. |

2019-20 Enrollment for All Students/Student Group

| 2019-20 Enrollment for All Students/Student Group |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Student Group | Total | Percentage |
| English Learners | 40 | 7.3 |
| Foster Youth | 1 | 0.2 |
| Socioeconomically Disadvantaged | 62 | 11.3 |
| Students with Disabilities | 39 | 7.1 |


| Enrollment by Race/Ethnicity |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Student Group | Total | Percentage |
| African American | 7 | 1.3 |
| Asian | 109 | 19.9 |
| Filipino | 20 | 3.6 |
| Hispanic | 91 | 16.6 |
| Two or More Races | 31 | 5.7 |
| Pacific Islander | 1 | 0.2 |
| White | 289 | 52.7 |

## Conclusions based on this data:

1. Oak Meadow continues to have a diverse cultural make-up of language and cultures.

## School and Student Performance Data

## Overall Performance

The data provided below is from the 2019 Fall California School Dashboard. Due to the COVID-19 pandemic, state law has suspended the reporting of state and local indicators on the 2020 Dashboard. However, available data that would have been included in the Dashboard are reported on the Department's web site if they were determined to be valid and reliable. Information regarding the reporting status of data is available at COVID-19 and Data Reporting.

## 2019 Fall Dashboard Overall Performance for All Students

| Academic Performance |
| :---: |
| English Language Arts |
| Mathematics |
| Blue |


| Academic Engagement |
| :---: |
| Chronic Absenteeism |
| Green |


| Conditions \& Climate |
| :---: |
| Suspension Rate |
| Blue |

## Conclusions based on this data:

1. There is no data for 2020-2021. During the 2018-2019 school year Oak Meadow had met or exceeded all Dashboard indicators.

## School and Student Performance Data

## Academic Performance <br> English Language Arts

The data provided below is from the 2019 Fall California School Dashboard. Due to the COVID-19 pandemic, state law has suspended the reporting of state and local indicators on the 2020 Dashboard. However, available data that would have been included in the Dashboard are reported on the Department's web site if they were determined to be valid and reliable. Information regarding the reporting status of data is available at COVID-19 and Data Reporting.

The performance levels are color-coded and range from lowest-to-highest performance in the following order:
Lowest
Performance

Red

Orange

Yellow

Green

Blue

Highest Performance

This section provides number of student groups in each color.

## 2019 Fall Dashboard English Language Arts Equity Report



This section provides a view of Student Assessment Results and other aspects of this school's performance, specifically how well students are meeting grade-level standards on the English Language Arts assessment. This measure is based on student performance on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment, which is taken annually by students in grades 3-8 and grade 11.

2019 Fall Dashboard English Language Arts Performance for All Students/Student Group



This section provides a view of Student Assessment Results and other aspects of this school's performance, specifically how well students are meeting grade-level standards on the English Language Arts assessment. This measure is based on student performance on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment, which is taken annually by students in grades 3-8 and grade 11.

## 2019 Fall Dashboard English Language Arts Data Comparisons for English Learners



## Conclusions based on this data:

1. There is no data for 2020 -2021. During 2018 -2019 Oak Meadow students met or exceed all Dashboard indicators. We will to continue to monitor our reclassified English Learners.

## School and Student Performance Data

## Academic Performance <br> Mathematics

The data provided below is from the 2019 Fall California School Dashboard. Due to the COVID-19 pandemic, state law has suspended the reporting of state and local indicators on the 2020 Dashboard. However, available data that would have been included in the Dashboard are reported on the Department's web site if they were determined to be valid and reliable. Information regarding the reporting status of data is available at COVID-19 and Data Reporting.

The performance levels are color-coded and range from lowest-to-highest performance in the following order:

Lowest
Performance

Red

Orange

Yellow

Green

Blue

Highest Performance

This section provides number of student groups in each color.

## 2019 Fall Dashboard Mathematics Equity Report

| Red | Orange | Yellow | Green | Blue |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 |

This section provides a view of Student Assessment Results and other aspects of this school's performance, specifically how well students are meeting grade-level standards on the Mathematics assessment. This measure is based on student performance on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment, which is taken annually by students in grades 3-8 and grade 11.

2019 Fall Dashboard Mathematics Performance for All Students/Student Group

| All Students |
| :---: |
| Blue |
| Increased ++9.7 points |
| 332 |


| English Learners |
| :---: |
| Green |
| 53.9 points above standard |
| Declined -3.6 points |
| 41 |

$\square$

Socioeconomically Disadvantaged
 Foster Youth

| Students with Disabilities |
| :---: |
| No Performance Color |
| 8.5 points below standard |
| Increased ++3.2 points |
| 24 |



This section provides a view of Student Assessment Results and other aspects of this school's performance, specifically how well students are meeting grade-level standards on the Mathematics assessment. This measure is based on student performance on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment, which is taken annually by students in grades 3-8 and grade 11.

## 2019 Fall Dashboard Mathematics Data Comparisons for English Learners

| Current English Learner | Reclassified English Learners | English Only |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Less than 11 Students - Data Not <br> Displayed for Privacy <br> 7 | 60.2 points above standard <br> Declined Significantly -15.6 points <br> 34 <br> 54.7 points above standard <br> Increased ++11.6 points <br> 269 |  |

## Conclusions based on this data:

1. There is no data for 2020-2021. During 2018-2019 Oak Meadow students meet or exceed all Dashboard indicators.

## School and Student Performance Data

## Academic Performance <br> English Learner Progress

The data provided below is from the 2019 Fall California School Dashboard. Due to the COVID-19 pandemic, state law has suspended the reporting of state and local indicators on the 2020 Dashboard. However, available data that would have been included in the Dashboard are reported on the Department's web site if they were determined to be valid and reliable. Information regarding the reporting status of data is available at COVID-19 and Data Reporting.

This section provides a view of the percentage of current EL students making progress towards English language proficiency or maintaining the highest level.

## 2019 Fall Dashboard English Learner Progress Indicator

| English Learner Progress |
| :---: |
| No Performance Color |
| 61.3 making progress towards English |
| language proficiency |
| Number of EL Students: 31 |
| Performance Level: High |

This section provides a view of the percentage of current EL students who progressed at least one ELPI level, maintained ELPI level 4, maintained lower ELPI levels (i.e, levels 1, 2L, 2H, 3L, or 3H), or decreased at least one ELPI Level.

## 2019 Fall Dashboard Student English Language Acquisition Results

| Decreased <br> One ELPI Level | Maintained ELPI Level 1, <br> 2L, 2H, 3L, or 3H | Maintained <br> ELPI Level 4 | Progressed At Least <br> One ELPI Level |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9.6 | 29.0 | 9.6 | 51.6 |

Conclusions based on this data:

1. There is no data for 2020-2021. During 2018-2019 Oak Meadow students are making expected progress in English Language Development.

## School and Student Performance Data

## Academic Performance College/Career

The data provided below is from the 2019 Fall California School Dashboard. Due to the COVID-19 pandemic, state law has suspended the reporting of state and local indicators on the 2020 Dashboard. However, available data that would have been included in the Dashboard are reported on the Department's web site if they were determined to be valid and reliable. Information regarding the reporting status of data is available at COVID-19 and Data Reporting.

The performance levels are color-coded and range from lowest-to-highest performance in the following order:

| Lowest |  |  | Gellow |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Performance | Red | Highest |  |
| Performance |  |  |  |

This section provides number of student groups in each color.

## 2019 Fall Dashboard College/Career Equity Report

| Red | Orange | Yellow | Green | Blue |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

This section provides information on the percentage of high school graduates who are placed in the "Prepared" level on the College/Career Indicator.

## 2019 Fall Dashboard College/Career for All Students/Student Group

| All Students | English Learners |  | Foster Youth |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Homeless | Socioeconomically Disadvantaged |  | Students with Disabilities |
| 2019 Fall Dashboard College/Career by Race/Ethnicity |  |  |  |
| African American | American Indian | Asian | Filipino |
| Hispanic | Two or More Races | Pacific Islander | White |

This section provides a view of the percent of students per year that qualify as Not Prepared, Approaching Prepared, and Prepared.

## 2019 Fall Dashboard College/Career 3-Year Performance

| Class of 2017 | Class of 2018 | Class of 2019 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Prepared | Prepared | Prepared |
| Approaching Prepared | Approaching Prepared | Approaching Prepared |
| Not Prepared | Not Prepared | Not Prepared |

Conclusions based on this data:

1. Not applicable to elementary schools.

## School and Student Performance Data

## Academic Engagement Chronic Absenteeism

The data provided below is from the 2019 Fall California School Dashboard. Due to the COVID-19 pandemic, state law has suspended the reporting of state and local indicators on the 2020 Dashboard. However, available data that would have been included in the Dashboard are reported on the Department's web site if they were determined to be valid and reliable. Information regarding the reporting status of data is available at COVID-19 and Data Reporting.

The performance levels are color-coded and range from lowest-to-highest performance in the following order:

Lowest
Performance




Yellow


Green


Blue

Highest
Performance

This section provides number of student groups in each color.

## 2019 Fall Dashboard Chronic Absenteeism Equity Report

| Red | Orange | Yellow | Green |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

This section provides information about the percentage of students in kindergarten through grade 8 who are absent 10 percent or more of the instructional days they were enrolled.

2019 Fall Dashboard Chronic Absenteeism for All Students/Student Group


| English Learners |
| :---: |
| Green |
| 6.4 |
| Declined -1.6 |
| 47 |



## 2019 Fall Dashboard Chronic Absenteeism by Race/Ethnicity



## Conclusions based on this data:

1. There is no data for 2020-2021. During 2018-2019 students in the subgroups English Language Learners, Socioeconomically disadvantaged, and Hispanic have higher chronic absenteeism rates than other subgroups.

## School and Student Performance Data

## Academic Engagement Graduation Rate

The data provided below is from the 2019 Fall California School Dashboard. Due to the COVID-19 pandemic, state law has suspended the reporting of state and local indicators on the 2020 Dashboard. However, available data that would have been included in the Dashboard are reported on the Department's web site if they were determined to be valid and reliable. Information regarding the reporting status of data is available at COVID-19 and Data Reporting.

The performance levels are color-coded and range from lowest-to-highest performance in the following order:

| Lowest |  |  | Highest |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Performance | Red | Orange | Gellow | Blue | Performance |

This section provides number of student groups in each color.

| 2019 Fall Dashboard Graduation Rate Equity Report |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Red | Orange | Gellow |  |

This section provides information about students completing high school, which includes students who receive a standard high school diploma or complete their graduation requirements at an alternative school.

2019 Fall Dashboard Graduation Rate for All Students/Student Group


This section provides a view of the percentage of students who received a high school diploma within four years of entering ninth grade or complete their graduation requirements at an alternative school.

## 2019 Fall Dashboard Graduation Rate by Year

2018
2019

## Conclusions based on this data:

1. Not Applicable to Elementary Schools

## School and Student Performance Data

## Conditions \& Climate <br> Suspension Rate

The data provided below is from the 2019 Fall California School Dashboard. Due to the COVID-19 pandemic, state law has suspended the reporting of state and local indicators on the 2020 Dashboard. However, available data that would have been included in the Dashboard are reported on the Department's web site if they were determined to be valid and reliable. Information regarding the reporting status of data is available at COVID-19 and Data Reporting.

The performance levels are color-coded and range from lowest-to-highest performance in the following order:

Lowest
Performance




Yellow


Green


Blue

Highest
Performance

This section provides number of student groups in each color.

## 2019 Fall Dashboard Suspension Rate Equity Report



This section provides information about the percentage of students in kindergarten through grade 12 who have been suspended at least once in a given school year. Students who are suspended multiple times are only counted once.

2019 Fall Dashboard Suspension Rate for All Students/Student Group


No Performance Color
Less than 11 Students - Data Not
1

Students with Disabilities

Blue
0

Maintained 0
58

2019 Fall Dashboard Suspension Rate by Race/Ethnicity


| White |
| :---: |
| Blue |
| 0 |
| Maintained 0 |
| 357 |

This section provides a view of the percentage of students who were suspended.

| 2019 Fall Dashboard Suspension Rate by Year |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2017 |  | 2018 |
|  | 0 | 2019 |

## Conclusions based on this data:

1. Oak Meadow continues to have a zero suspension rate.

## Goals, Strategies, \& Proposed Expenditures

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the school's goals. Duplicate the table as needed.

## Goal Subject

Mathematics

## LEA/LCAP Goal

Goal \#1: Student Achievement - Maximize the performance of each student in all academic areas.

## Goal 1

Mathematics: Increase "Distance from Level 3" by 3 scale points for all students and all significant subgroups as measured by SBA assessment given in May 2022.

## Identified Need

Mathematics: Currently there are no SBA data du to COVID. The May 2022 data will set a new baseline.

## Annual Measurable Outcomes

| Metric/Indicator | Baseline/Actual Outcome | Expected Outcome |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2020-2021 FastBridge Scores | Mathematics Average FastBridge \%ile: All Students 66,87 (+1.46), English Learners 46.36 (-3), SED 43.92 (-9.16), SWD 45.00 (+0.5), Asian 76.28 , Latinx 55.20, Two or More Races 66.89, White 66.44 |  |

Complete a copy of the Strategy/Activity table for each of the school's strategies/activities. Duplicate the table, including Proposed Expenditures, as needed.

## Strategy/Activity 1

## Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

## All Students

## Strategy/Activity

Examine school-wide and classroom specific data

## Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)
0

Source(s)
None Specified

## Strategy/Activity 2

## Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

## All Students

## Strategy/Activity

Identify students in need of additional support and provide small group instruction

## Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)
13,525.00
$25,000.00$

Source(s)
Library Grant
1000-1999: Certificated Personnel Salaries Teacher Release Days for Assessments
LCFF - Supplemental
2000-2999: Classified Personnel Salaries
Math Intervention Teacher

## Strategy/Activity 3

## Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

## All Students

## Strategy/Activity

Monitor student assessments and provide additional learning opportunities to those students not mastering skills and concepts

## Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)
Source(s)
0

## Strategy/Activity 4 <br> Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

## All Students

Strategy/Activity
Professional Development: Collaboration Wednesdays, LCF, Individualized as appropriate

## Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)
0

## Source(s)

District Funded 1000-1999: Certificated Personnel Salaries Professional Development

## Strategy/Activity 5

## Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

## All Students

Strategy/Activity
Provide necessary materials and supplies to support instructional program.

## Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)

```
Source(s)
    Library Grant
    4000-4999: Books And Supplies
    Materials & Supplies
```


## Strategy/Activity 6

Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity
(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

## All Students

Strategy/Activity
Provide technology applications, hardware, and support for instructional program.

## Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Library Grant 2000-2999: Classified Personnel Salaries Technology Teacher Associate

## Annual Review

## SPSA Year Reviewed: 2020-21

Respond to the following prompts relative to this goal. If the school is in the first year of implementing the goal, an analysis is not required and this section may be deleted.

## ANALYSIS

Describe the overall implementation of the strategies/activities and the overall effectiveness of the strategies/activities to achieve the articulated goal.
FastBridge data indicates a slight increase in the number of students meeting or exceeding proficiency. Our Latinx, socio-economically disadvantaged, special education and English language learners underperform other significant subgroups.

Briefly describe any major differences between the intended implementation and/or the budgeted expenditures to implement the strategies/activities to meet the articulated goal.
This year we have Supplemental Grant money to implement a small math intervention program.
Describe any changes that will be made to this goal, the annual outcomes, metrics, or strategies/activities to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis. Identify where those changes can be found in the SPSA.
Metrics were changed due to the impacts of COVID-19 in the 2019-2020 and 2020-2021 school years, the California Department of Education waived the requirement for administration of State Assessments. Alternatively, the Buckeye Union School District administered the FastBridge assessment in Reading and Mathematics.

## Goals, Strategies, \& Proposed Expenditures

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the school's goals. Duplicate the table as needed.

## Goal Subject

English Language Arts

## LEA/LCAP Goal

Goal \#1: Student Achievement - Maximize the performance of each student in all academic areas.

## Goal 2

English Language Arts: Increase "Distance from Level 3" by 3 scale points for all studnets and all significant subgroups as measured by SBA assessment given in My 2022.

## Identified Need

English Language Arts: Currently there are no SBA data du to COVID. The May 2022 data will set a new baseline.

## Annual Measurable Outcomes

| Metric/Indicator | Baseline/Actual Outcome | Expected Outcome |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2020-2021 FastBridge Scores | English Language Arts: All Students 69.25 (+2.53), English Learners 44.86 (+2.24), SED 51.86 (-0.14), SWD 42.74 (+4.17), Asian 74.76, Latinx 61.71, Two or More Races 69.21, White 69.16 |  |

Complete a copy of the Strategy/Activity table for each of the school's strategies/activities. Duplicate the table, including Proposed Expenditures, as needed.

## Strategy/Activity 1

## Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

## All Students

## Strategy/Activity

Examine school-wide and classroom specific data

## Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s) 0

## Strategy/Activity 2

## Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

## All Students

## Strategy/Activity

Identify students in need of additional support and provide small group instruction

## Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.
Amount(s) Source(s)

0

## Teacher Release Days for Assessments

## Strategy/Activity 3

## Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

## All Students

Strategy/Activity
Monitor student assessments and provide additional learning opportunities to those students not mastering skills and concepts

## Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.
Amount(s)

Strategy/Activity 4
Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity
(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

## All Students

Strategy/Activity
Professional Development: Professional Development, Collaboration Wednesdays, LCF, Individualized as appropriate.

## Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)
0.0

Source(s)
Library Grant
5000-5999: Services And Other Operating
Expenditures
Professional Development

## Strategy/Activity 5

## Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

## All Students

## Strategy/Activity

Provide necessary materials and supplies to support instructional program.

## Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)
20048.78

Source(s)
Library Grant
4000-4999: Books And Supplies
Materials \& Supplies

## Strategy/Activity 6

## Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

## All Students

Strategy/Activity
Provide technology applications, hardware, and support for instructional program.

## Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)
Source(s)
0

## Software to support ELA Instruction

## Strategy/Activity 7

Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity
(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

## Identified Students

## Strategy/Activity

Provide intervention (LLI) services for struggling students.

## Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)
75,000.00

Source(s)
LCFF - Supplemental 1000-1999: Certificated Personnel Salaries Intervention \& ELD Teachers

## Annual Review

## SPSA Year Reviewed: 2020-21

Respond to the following prompts relative to this goal. If the school is in the first year of implementing the goal, an analysis is not required and this section may be deleted.

## ANALYSIS

Describe the overall implementation of the strategies/activities and the overall effectiveness of the strategies/activities to achieve the articulated goal.
FastBridge data indicates a slight increase in the number of students meeting or exceeding proficiency. Our Latinx, socio-economically disadvantaged, special education and English language learners underperform other significant subgroups.

Briefly describe any major differences between the intended implementation and/or the budgeted expenditures to implement the strategies/activities to meet the articulated goal.

## No Changes

Describe any changes that will be made to this goal, the annual outcomes, metrics, or strategies/activities to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis. Identify where those changes can be found in the SPSA.
Metrics were changed due to the impacts of COVID-19 in the 2019-2020 and 2020-2021 school years, the California Department of Education waived the requirement for administration of State

Assessments. Alternatively, the Buckeye Union School District administered the FastBridge assessment in Reading and Mathematics.

## Goals, Strategies, \& Proposed Expenditures

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the school's goals. Duplicate the table as needed.

## Goal Subject

Suspension Rate

## LEA/LCAP Goal

Goal \#2: Promote the development of each student as a "whole" person.

## Goal 3

By June 2022 Oak Meadow will have maintained its "Very Low" status on the CA School Dashboard as measured by Suspension Rate Data.

## Identified Need

Maintain Very Low suspension rate.

## Annual Measurable Outcomes

| Metric/Indicator | Baseline/Actual Outcome | Expected Outcome |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| School Suspension Rate | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |

Complete a copy of the Strategy/Activity table for each of the school's strategies/activities. Duplicate the table, including Proposed Expenditures, as needed.

## Strategy/Activity 1

## Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)
All Students

Strategy/Activity
Formation of student groups (Leadership, Green Team, Clean-up Crew, Team Raptors, Kindness Crew, Polished Pebbles, etc.)

Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity
List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)
Source(s)
0.00

## Strategy/Activity 2

## Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

## Strategy/Activity

Community Service Activities

## Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)
Source(s)

## Strategy/Activity 3

Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity (Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

## Strategy/Activity

Classroom lessons on school pride, character development, conflict management

## Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

## Amount(s)

Source(s)

## Annual Review

## SPSA Year Reviewed: 2020-21

Respond to the following prompts relative to this goal. If the school is in the first year of implementing the goal, an analysis is not required and this section may be deleted.

## ANALYSIS

Describe the overall implementation of the strategies/activities and the overall effectiveness of the strategies/activities to achieve the articulated goal.

## 0\% Suspension Rate

Briefly describe any major differences between the intended implementation and/or the budgeted expenditures to implement the strategies/activities to meet the articulated goal.

## No Changes

Describe any changes that will be made to this goal, the annual outcomes, metrics, or strategies/activities to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis. Identify where those changes can be found in the SPSA.

## No Changes

## Goals, Strategies, \& Proposed Expenditures

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the school's goals. Duplicate the table as needed.

## Goal Subject

Chronic Absenteeism

## LEA/LCAP Goal

Goal \#2: Promote the development of each student as a "whole" person.

## Goal 4

Due to COVID restrictions our Chronic Absenteeism percentage has been higher than previous years. Our goal once required quarantining of minor illnesses is removed is to reach a chronic absenteeism rate of $2.5 \%$ or less. Data from 2021-2022 school year will set a new baseline which will be compared to the 2018-2019 baseline of $3.0 \%$ to set future goals.

## Identified Need

2018-2019 data showed students from African American (8.33\%), Hispanic (8.65\%), Socioeconomically Disadvantaged (14.81\%) sub groups have significantly higher rates of chronic attendance than other sub groups. However due to low numbers of students in each sub group the percentages do not really tell the whole story. 1 of 12 African American students, 9 of 104 Hispanic students, and 12 of 81 Socioeconomically Disadvantaged students make up the chronically absent group.

## Annual Measurable Outcomes

| Metric/Indicator | Baseline/Actual Outcome | Expected Outcome |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Attendance Reports | 3.0\% Chronically Absent in 2018-2019 | Decrease the percentage of students chronically absent. |

Complete a copy of the Strategy/Activity table for each of the school's strategies/activities. Duplicate the table, including Proposed Expenditures, as needed.

## Strategy/Activity 1

## Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)
Absent Students

Strategy/Activity
Frequent checks of attendance records to monitor students approaching or exceeding 10\% absence rate.

## Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

## Amount(s)

## Source(s)

0

## Strategy/Activity 2

## Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)
Students with 10\% or more absences throughout the school year.

## Strategy/Activity

Phone or in person conferences with families regarding absences

## Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)
Source(s)
0

## Annual Review

## SPSA Year Reviewed: 2020-21

Respond to the following prompts relative to this goal. If the school is in the first year of implementing the goal, an analysis is not required and this section may be deleted.

## ANALYSIS

Describe the overall implementation of the strategies/activities and the overall effectiveness of the strategies/activities to achieve the articulated goal.
Decrease Chronically Absent percentage from 4.5\% to 3.0\%
Briefly describe any major differences between the intended implementation and/or the budgeted expenditures to implement the strategies/activities to meet the articulated goal.

## No Changes

Describe any changes that will be made to this goal, the annual outcomes, metrics, or strategies/activities to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis. Identify where those changes can be found in the SPSA.

## No Changes

## Budget Summary

Complete the table below. Schools may include additional information. Adjust the table as needed. The Budget Summary is required for schools funded through the ConApp, and/or that receive funds from the LEA for Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI).

## Budget Summary

| Description | Amount |
| :--- | :--- |
| Total Funds Provided to the School Through the Consolidated Application | $\$ 152,429.00$ |
| Total Federal Funds Provided to the School from the LEA for CSI | $\$ 0$ |
| Total Funds Budgeted for Strategies to Meet the Goals in the SPSA | $\$ 152,429.00$ |

## Federal Programs

## Allocation (\$)

Subtotal of additional federal funds included for this school: \$

List the State and local programs that the school is including in the schoolwide program. Duplicate the table as needed.

## State or Local Programs

| District Funded |
| :--- |
| LCFF - Supplemental |
| Library Grant |

None Specified

## Allocation (\$)

$\$ 0.00$
$\$ 0.00$
\$100,000.00
\$52,429.00
$\$ 0.00$

Subtotal of state or local funds included for this school: \$152,429.00
Total of federal, state, and/or local funds for this school: \$152,429.00

## Budgeted Funds and Expenditures in this Plan

The tables below are provided to help the school track expenditures as they relate to funds budgeted to the school.

## Funds Budgeted to the School by Funding Source

| Funding Source | Amount | Balance |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Library Grant |  | $52,429.00$ |

## Expenditures by Funding Source

Funding Source

|  |
| :--- |
| District Funded |
| LCFF - Supplemental |
| Library Grant |
| None Specified |

## Expenditures by Budget Reference

| Budget Reference | Amount |
| :--- | :---: |
|  |  |
| 1000-1999: Certificated Personnel Salaries |  |
| 2000-2999: Classified Personnel Salaries |  |
| 4000-4999: Books And Supplies |  |
| 5000-5999: Services And Other Operating |  |
|  |  |
| Expenditures |  |

Budget Reference


Funding Source

|  |
| :--- |
| District Funded |

Amount

| 0.00 |
| :---: |
| 0.00 |
| 0.00 |


| 1000-1999: Certificated Personnel |
| :--- |
| Salaries |
| 2000-2999: Classified Personnel |
| Salaries |
| 1000-1999: Certificated Personnel |
| Salaries |
| 2000-2999: Classified Personnel |
| Salaries |
| 4000-4999: Books And Supplies |
| 5000-5999: Services And Other |
| Operating Expenditures |
|  |


| LCFF - Supplemental | $75,000.00$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| LCFF - Supplemental | $25,000.00$ |
| Library Grant | $13,525.00$ |
| Library Grant | $18,855.22$ |
| Library Grant | $20,048.78$ |
| Library Grant | 0.00 |
| None Specified | 0.00 |

## Expenditures by Goal

Goal Number

| Goal 1 |
| :---: |
| Goal 2 |
| Goal 3 |
| Goal 4 |

Total Expenditures

| $57,380.22$ |
| :---: |
| $95,048.78$ |
| 0.00 |
| 0.00 |

## School Site Council Membership

California Education Code describes the required composition of the School Site Council (SSC). The SSC shall be composed of the principal and representatives of: teachers selected by teachers at the school; other school personnel selected by other school personnel at the school; parents of pupils attending the school selected by such parents; and, in secondary schools, pupils selected by pupils attending the school. The current make-up of the SSC is as follows:

## 1 School Principal

3 Classroom Teachers
1 Other School Staff
5 Parent or Community Members

| Name of Members | Role |
| :--- | :--- |
| Amy Amaral | Classroom Teacher |
| Tammy Baker | Classroom Teacher |
| Elizabeth Gallivan | Parent or Community Member |
| Suzanne Lewis | Classroom Teacher |
| Tracy Linyard | Principal |
| Lorilei McCusker | Other School Staff |
| Kimberly Osborne | Parent or Community Member |
| Julie Sherman | Parent or Community Member |
| Alyssa Tarr | Parent or Community Member |
| Jennifer Torres-Wells |  |

At elementary schools, the school site council must be constituted to ensure parity between (a) the principal, classroom teachers, and other school personnel, and (b) parents of students attending the school or other community members. Classroom teachers must comprise a majority of persons represented under section (a). At secondary schools there must be, in addition, equal numbers of parents or other community members selected by parents, and students. Members must be selected by their peer group.

## Recommendations and Assurances

The School Site Council (SSC) recommends this school plan and proposed expenditures to the district governing board for approval and assures the board of the following:

The SSC is correctly constituted and was formed in accordance with district governing board policy and state law.
The SSC reviewed its responsibilities under state law and district governing board policies, including those board policies relating to material changes in the School Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) requiring board approval.

The SSC sought and considered all recommendations from the following groups or committees before adopting this plan:

Signature


Committee or Advisory Group Name
English Learner Advisory Committee

The SSC reviewed the content requirements for school plans of programs included in this SPSA and believes all such content requirements have been met, including those found in district governing board policies and in the local educational agency plan.

This SPSA is based on a thorough analysis of student academic performance. The actions proposed herein form a sound, comprehensive, coordinated plan to reach stated school goals to improve student academic performance.

This SPSA was adopted by the SSC at a public meeting on 10/5/2021.
Attested:


Principal, Tracy Linyard on 10/5/2021

SSC Chairperson, Lorilei McCusker on 10/5/2021

